UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,151	03/18/2004	Richard Martin Alvarez	100719.52887US 5034	
23911 CROWELL & 1	7590 03/19/2007 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY GROU	HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/19/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			C
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/803,151	ALVAREZ, RICHARD MARTIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the disclosure as originally filed for "prepared" food products. This is a NEW MATTER rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmedding (US 3,628,720) in view of Tang (US 6,610,338).

Schmedding discloses a sanitary and breathable packaging for prepared food products (see column 1, line 18), comprising a container having walls of laminate material (1,2) with at least an insertion opening with a non-reusable closure (known in the art) and holes (3,4) selectively arranged around the packaging so as to be suitable in size and number for aeration internally into the packaging for permitting transpiration for the prepared food products contained in the packaging prior to extraction thereof (column 1, lines 49-50). Schmedding does not disclose at least one weakening line for tearing the packaging to allow extraction of prepared food products therefrom.

Tang teaches it is known to provide a bag for "prepared" food products (see column 1, lines 11-12) with at least one weakening line (for instance 22) for tearing the packaging to allow extraction of prepared food products therefrom.

Art Unit: 3781

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one weakening line for tearing the packaging to allow extraction of prepared food products therefrom to the packaging of Schmedding as taught by Tang. Doing so provides a packaging that can easily be torn upon first opening, without the need for scissors, to allow extraction of prepared food products contained in the packaging.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang in view of Schmedding.

Tang discloses a sanitary packaging for prepared food products (see column 1, lines 11-12) comprising a container with at least an insertion opening with a non-reusable closure and having at least one weakening line (for instance 22) for tearing the packaging to allow extraction of prepared food products therefrom. Tang does not disclose the container having walls of laminate material and holes selectively arranged around the packaging so as to be suitable in size and number for aeration internally into the packaging for permitting transpiration for the prepared food products contained in the packaging prior to extraction thereof.

Schmedding teaches it is known to provide a packaging container having walls of laminate material (1,2) and holes (3,4) selectively arranged around the packaging so as to be suitable in size and number for aeration internally into the packaging for permitting transpiration for the prepared food products contained in the packaging prior to extraction thereof.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of laminate material walls and holes selectively arranged around the packaging so as to be suitable in size and number for aeration internally into the packaging for permitting transpiration for the prepared food products contained in the packaging prior to

Art Unit: 3781

extraction thereof to the packaging of Tang. Doing so allows for necessary aeration of the bag contents to maintain the integrity of said contents.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/803,151

Art Unit: 3781

amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 9. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

	s correspondence for Application Serial No rk Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the	
Typed or printed name	of person signing this certificate	
Signature		
Date		·

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Art Unit: 3781

may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH March 13, 2007

> Røblo M. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781